

Interview Summary

Application No. 09/530,013

Applicant(s)

Shimizu et al.

Examiner

Ralph Gitomer

Art Unit **1651**

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):	
(1) Ralph Gitomer (3)	
(2) Raymond C. Stewart (4)	
Date of Interview Aug 26, 2003	
Type: a) ☑ Telephonic b) ☐ Video Conference c) ☐ Personal [copy is given to 1) ☐ applicant 2) ☐ applicant's in a conference confere	
Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) ☐ Yes e) ☒ No. If yes,	brief description:
Claim(s) discussed: None	
Identification of prior art discussed: none	
Agreement with respect to the claims f) was reached. g) was not respect to the claims f) the general nature of what was	eached. h) X N/A.
any other comments:	
Applicants representative was informed the amendment after final has been reforthcoming.	eceived and an advisory action is
·	
	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amend available, a summary thereof must be attached.)	examiner agreed would render the claims
i) 🛮 It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the s	substance of the interview (if box is checked).
Unless the paragraph above has been checked, THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLINCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP section 713.04 already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN ONE MONTH FROM THIS INTERVIES SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview required.). If a reply to the last Office action has W DATE TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE
Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an Attachment to a signed Office action.	Examiner's signature, if required